

# HJC HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

7425 Peak Drive • Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
Telephone 702.316.4111 • Facsimile 702.316.4114

February 25, 2019

RILEY A. CLAYTON  
STEVEN T. JAFFE<sup>12</sup>  
MICHAEL R. HALL<sup>1</sup>  
MICHELLE R. SCHWARZ  
ASHLIE L. SURUR  
KEVIN S. SMITH<sup>8</sup>

RYAN M. VENCI  
KATHY A. MCCARTHY<sup>5</sup>  
ALEKSANDR CHALIMBEKOV<sup>13</sup>  
STEPHEN D. STEELE<sup>16</sup>  
DANIEL C. TETREault  
HEATHER M. CALIGUIRE  
JON M. LUDWIG  
WALTER F. FICK  
SETH M. STRICKLAND

*Of Counsel*  
MONTE HALL<sup>3</sup>  
TROY A. CLARK<sup>9</sup>

To Whom It May Concern:

I write to provide a legal reference for Dr. G. Keith Still. We engaged Dr. Still to assist in a complex litigation matter involving an alleged crowd incident which occurred as guests were exiting a sporting event at a large arena in Las Vegas, Nevada, USA. In searching for the appropriate expert, I was initially impressed with Dr. Still's background having studied, researched, and advised extensively in the area of crowd behavior and crowd management. Dr. Still certainly is a wealth of knowledge in these areas. However, as I worked further with Dr. Still, I became increasingly impressed with his attention to detail. As our expert, Dr. Still also performed the additional background work to understand the specific expert guidelines and regulations for him to offer opinions in Nevada state courts.

1. Also licensed in Arizona
2. Also licensed in New Jersey
3. Also licensed in Utah
4. Also licensed in California
5. Also licensed in Massachusetts
6. Also licensed in Colorado
7. Also licensed in Washington D.C.
8. Also licensed in Texas
9. Also licensed in Oregon

I worked with Dr. Still on approximately 12 different cases (all arising from the same underlying incident.) Dr. Still complied with all deadlines and made himself accessible via email and telephone despite the eight hour time difference between his home in the UK and mine in Nevada. While none of the cases ultimately made it to trial (one remains outstanding), Dr. Still did sit for a deposition (oral examination under oath.) Dr. Still provided accurate and complete responses, often referring to his background and specific details pertaining to the subject incident. Despite the adversarial nature of the examination, Dr. Still remained calm and poised.

I would retain Dr. Still should the need arise in the future for another case and provide my recommendation to anyone else looking for a crowd expert. Feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

Very truly yours,



STEPHEN D. STEELE, ESQ.

SDS/amz